## REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Patent Office for the careful attention accorded this Application and respectively requests reconsideration in view of the Amendment above and remarks set forth above.

In response to the Office Action mailed July 24, 2003, Applicant has amended Claims 37-69 without prejudice or disclaimer, in order to more clearly define the present invention over the prior art and in order to avoid any basis for rejection under 35 USC Section 112.

In view, therefore, of the Amendment and remarks set forth above, Applicant firmly believes that the present invention defined by rewritten Claims 37-69, is neither anticipated by nor rendered obvious in view of the prior art of record, and that the present Application is now in all respects in condition for allowance.

Favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Dated: July 24, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. Perkowski, Esq.

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 33,134

Thomas J. Perkowski, Esq., P.C.

Soundview Plaza

1266 East Main Street

Stamford, Connecticut 06902

203-357-1950

http://www.tjpatlaw.com

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2003

Certificate of Mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on July 24, 2003, in a Postage Prepaid envelope as, First Class Mail, addressed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Thomas J. Perkowski, Esq.

Reg. No. 33,134

Date: July 24, 2003

Page 8 of 9